arXiv:0712.1152v1 [math.AP] 7 Dec 2007

Euler equation for incompressible non-Newtonian fluids: finite speed of propagations and asymptotic behavior of weak solutions

Yuliya V. Namlyeyeva and Roman M. Taranets *

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We investigate multidimensional model for incompressible non-Newtonian fluids. Using method of energy estimates we prove the property of finite speed of propagations of the solution support for this problem. We find sharp bounds of the propagations by L^2–norm and L^1–norm of initial data.

2000 MSC: 35Q35, 76A10, 35B40, 35B99
keywords: non-Newtonian fluids, asymptotic behavior, finite speed of propagations of support

1 Formulation of the problem and main results

There are many substances like geological materials, liquid foams, polymeric fluids, which are capable of flowing but which exhibit flow characteristics that cannot be adequately described by the classical linearly viscous fluid model. In order to describe some of the departures from Newtonian behavior evinced by such materials, many idealized material models have been

---

*Research is partially supported by the INTAS project Ref. No: 05-1000008-7921

suggested. There are several phenomena which appear when studying non-Newtonian fluids: the shear thinning and the shear thickening, the ability of a creep, the ability to relax stresses, the presence of normal stress differences in simple shear flow, the presence of yield stress. For more details see [12]. The equations governing the unsteady motion these fluids have been previously investigated, e.g. [5, 8, 10, 11], etc.

Let components of the symmetric deformation velocity tensor are given by

$$(\mathbf{D}u)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\Big) \tag{1.1}$$

$(|\mathbf{D}u| = (\mathbf{D}u_{ij}\mathbf{D}u_{ij})^{\frac{1}{2}})$.

We consider multi–dimensional problem of non-Newtonian model which has the following form

$$(C) \qquad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)\, u = \mu_1 div(|\mathbf{D}u|^{p-2}\mathbf{D}u) + \nabla\pi, && (1.2)\\ & div\, u = 0, && (1.3)\\ & u(0,x) = u_0(x). && (1.4) \end{aligned} \right.$$

Here u is the velocity field, π is the pressure for an incompressible power-law fluids, u_0 is the initial value of the velocity. Let $\mu_1 > 0$,

$$u_0 \in (W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N_-))^N \cap H, \ \text{supp}\ u_{0,k}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N_-, \ k = \overline{1,N}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^N_- := \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N < 0\}$ and $H = \{u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N : div\, u = 0\}$.

Existence of a weak solution for $p > \frac{3N}{N+2}$ and the uniqueness and regularity for $p \geqslant \frac{3N+2}{N+2}$, $N = 2, 3$ were proved in [5, 8]. The more detailed information about qualitative behavior of the solution can be found in [1].

Definition 1.1. *The function* $u(x,t) = (u_1(t,x), \dots, u_N(t,x))$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & u \in L_p(0,T; W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N) \cap L_2(0,T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N) \cap C(0,T;H),\\ & u_t \in L_2(0,T;H) \end{aligned}$$

is called a weak solution to problem (C) *if for a.e.* $t > 0$*, the integral identity*

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_t(t)\varphi\, dx + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u \cdot \nabla)\, u\, \varphi\, dx + \mu_1 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{D}u(t)|^{p-2}\mathbf{D}u(t) \,:\mathbf{D}\varphi\, dx = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

is satisfied for every $\varphi \in L_p(0,T; W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N) \cap L_2(0,T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N)$ *with* $div\,\varphi = 0$.

Basic definitions and properties of p–Laplacian we can find in the books of Vazquez [19, 20]. The evolution p–Laplacian is one of the most widely researched equations in the class of nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations, already studied by Raviart [13]. Large time behaviour of the solutions was investigated in Kamin, Vazquez [6] and they proved that explicit solutions found by Barenblatt in 1952 are essentially the only positive solutions to the Cauchy problem with initial data

$$u(x,0) = M\delta(x),\ \ M > 0.$$

They established that any nonnegative solution with globally integrable initial values is asymptotically equal to the Barenblatt solution as $t \to \infty$. In work of Lee, Petrosyan and Vazquez [7] the property of asymptotic concavity was proved.

Now we give brief explanations of our method of proof of the finite speed of propagations. This method we call as the method of nonhomogeneous functional inequalities and it is some adaptation the energy method. It is connected with nonhomogeneous variants of Stampacchia lemma, in fact, it is an adaptation to higher order equations of local energy or Saint–Venant principle like estimates method. It was elaborated with respect to higher order quasilinear parabolic equations of the monotone type in [14, 15, 16]. Also it was developed with regard to thin-film equations with nonlinear convection in [17, 18]. Fluid mechanics is one of the most natural fields for the application of energy methods (see, [1, 2, 3]). This is because the fundamental conservation and balance laws employed for its description suggest an adequate choice of energy functions which, in turn, produces the formation of a free boundary. "Energy methods are special interest in those situations in which traditional methods based a comparison principles have failed. This method yields the formation of a free boundary; in other words, this means that the support of solution is localized in space-time domain."(see,[1])

The main results are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u(x,t)$ be a weak solution of problem (C). Let $p \geqslant \frac{3N+2}{N+2}$. Then there exists the function $\Gamma(t) \in C[0,T],\ \Gamma(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\Gamma(t) = c_1 \max\{t^{\frac{2}{2p+N(p-2)}},\ t^{\frac{2p+N(p-3)}{2p+N(p-2)}}\}\ \forall\, t > 0 \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\text{supp } u_k(t,.) \cap \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N \geqslant \Gamma(t)\} = \emptyset,\ k = \overline{1,N}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $c_1 = c_1(p, \mu_1, \|u_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N})$ *is a positive constant depending on known parameters only.*

Remark 1.1. *If (1.8) is valid then a solution to* (C) *has the property of finite speed of propagation of the solution support.*

Remark 1.2. *The estimate (1.7) is sharp for scalar equation if the initial function* $u_0(x)$ *belongs* $L_2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ *(see, for example, [14]).*

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $u(x,t)$ *be a weak solution of problem* (C)*. Let* $\|u\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N} \leqslant \|u_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N}$ *and* $p \geqslant \frac{3N+1}{N+1}$*. Then the function* $\Gamma(t)$ *has the following form*

$$\Gamma(t) = c_2 \max\{t^{\frac{1}{p+N(p-2)}},\, t^{\frac{p+N(p-3)}{p+N(p-2)}}\} \ \forall\, t > 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where $c_2 = c_2(p, \mu_1, \|u_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N})$ *is positive constant.*

Remark 1.3. *The exponent* $1/(p+N(p-2))$ *from (1.9) is well-known Barenblatt exponent for p–Laplacian scalar equation (see, for example, [4]).*

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $u(x,t)$ *be an arbitrary weak solution of problem* (C)*. Let* $p \geqslant \frac{3N+2}{N+2}$*. Then the following estimate is valid*

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \int\limits_{\Omega(s+\delta)} |u(t)|^2\,dx + \frac{1}{T}\iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |u|^2\,dx\,dt + \mu_1 \iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |\nabla u|^p\,dx\,dt \leqslant \frac{c}{\delta^p}\iint\limits_{Q_T(s)} |u|^p\,dx\,dt + \frac{c}{\delta}\iint\limits_{Q_T(s)} |u|^3\,dx\,dt, \tag{2.1}$$

$\forall\, s \geqslant s_0 \geqslant 0,\ \delta > 0,\ T > 0.$

Proof. For an arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\delta > 0$ we consider the families of sets

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega(s) = \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \ x_N \geqslant s\}, \ Q_T(s) = (0,T) \times \Omega(s),\\ &K(s,\delta) = \Omega(s) \backslash \Omega(s+\delta), \ K_T(s,\delta) = (0,T) \times K(s,\delta).\end{aligned}$$

Next we introduce our main sequence cut-off functions $\eta_{s,\delta}(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which possess the following properties:

$$0 \leqslant \eta_{s,\delta}(x) \leqslant 1 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \eta_{s,\delta}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \ , x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega(s), \\ 1 \ , x \in \Omega(s+\delta), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

$$|\nabla \, \eta_{s,\delta}| \leqslant \frac{c_5}{\delta} \ \forall \, x \in K(s,\delta). \tag{2.3}$$

Test integral identity (1.6) by

$$\varphi(x,t) = u(t,x)\psi(t,x), \tag{2.4}$$

where $u(t,x)$ is a solution of the problem (C) and, without loss of generality, we suppose that $(\nabla_x \psi(t,x), u(t,x)) = 0$. Here

$$\psi(t,x) = \eta_{s,\delta}(x) \exp\left(-t \cdot T^{-1}\right) \ \forall T > 0,$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u^2(t))\psi \, dx + \mu_1 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{D}u(t)|^p \psi \, dx + \\ &\qquad \mu_1 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{D}u(t)|^{p-2} \left(\mathbf{D}u(t) \, \nabla\psi\right) u(t) \, dx + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u \cdot \nabla)u(t) \, u(t)\psi dx = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We consider integral terms in the last identity. After using Young's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{D}u|^{p-2} \left(\mathbf{D}u \, \nabla\psi\right) u \, dx \leqslant \varepsilon_1 \exp\left(-t \cdot T^{-1}\right) \int\limits_{K(s,\delta)} |\mathbf{D}u|^p \, dx + \\ \frac{c(\varepsilon_1)}{\delta^p} \exp\left(-t \cdot T^{-1}\right) \int\limits_{K(s,\delta)} |u|^p \, dx,\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u \cdot \nabla)\, u\, u(t)\psi dx = \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-t \cdot T^{-1}\right) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t)|^2\, u(t)\, \nabla\psi dx \leqslant$$
$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \exp\left(-t \cdot T^{-1}\right) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^3 dx, \quad (2.7)$$

Integrating (2.5) respect with time, and taking into account (2.6) and (2.7), after simple computation, we deduce that

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \int\limits_{\Omega(s+\delta)} |u(t)|^2\, dx + \frac{1}{T} \iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |u|^2\, dx\, dt + 2\mu_1 \iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |\mathbf{D}u|^p\, dx\, dt \leqslant$$
$$\int\limits_{\Omega(s)} |u_0(x)|^2\, dx + \frac{c(\varepsilon_1)}{\delta^p} \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^p\, dx\, dt + \frac{c}{\delta} \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^3 dx\, dt + \mu_1\varepsilon_1 \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |\mathbf{D}u|^p\, dx\, dt, \quad (2.8)$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}^1,\ \delta > 0,\ T > 0$. It follows from (1.5) that

$$\int\limits_{\Omega(s)} |u_0(x)|^2\, dx = 0\ \forall\, s \geqslant s_0 \geqslant 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Finally, from (2.8), (2.9) we obtain

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \int\limits_{\Omega(s+\delta)} |u(t)|^2\, dx + \frac{1}{T} \iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |u|^2\, dx\, dt + 2\mu_1 \iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |\mathbf{D}u|^p\, dx\, dt \leqslant$$
$$\frac{c(\varepsilon_1)}{\delta^p} \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^p\, dx\, dt + \frac{c}{\delta} \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^3 dx\, dt + \mu_1\varepsilon_1 \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |\mathbf{D}u|^p\, dx\, dt, \quad (2.10)$$

$\forall\, s \geqslant s_0 \geqslant 0,\ \delta > 0,\ T > 0$. Choosing $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small, and iterating the limit inequality (2.10), we get (2.1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote

$$R_T(s,\delta) := \frac{c}{\delta^p} \iint\limits_{Q_T(s)} |u|^p\, dx\, dt + \frac{c}{\delta} \iint\limits_{Q_T(s)} |u|^3\, dx\, dt.$$

We introduce the energy functions related to our solution:

$$A_T(s) := \iint\limits_{Q_T(s)} |u|^p \, dx \, dt, \;\; B_T(s) := \iint\limits_{Q_T(s)} |u|^3 \, dx \, dt.$$

Applying Nirenberg-Gagliardo's (see, Lemma A.2 of Appendix A) and Hölder's inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &A_T(s+\delta) \leqslant c\, T^{\alpha_1} (R_T(s,\delta))^{1+\beta_1}, \;\; \alpha_1 = \tfrac{2p}{2p+N(p-2)}, \;\; \beta_1 = \tfrac{p(p-2)}{2p+N(p-2)}, \\ &B_T(s+\delta) \leqslant c\, T^{\alpha_2} (R_T(s,\delta))^{1+\beta_2}, \;\; \alpha_2 = \tfrac{2p+N(p-3)}{2p+N(p-2)}, \;\; \beta_2 = \tfrac{p}{2p+N(p-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we define the functions

$$C_T(s) := (A_T(s))^{1+\beta_2} + (B_T(s))^{1+\beta_1}.$$

Then

$$C_T(s+\delta) \leqslant \tilde{c}\, F(T)\, (\delta^{-p\beta} C_T^{1+\beta_1}(s) + \delta^{-\beta} C_T^{1+\beta_2}(s)), \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\beta = (1+\beta_1)(1+\beta_2), \;\; F(T) = \max\{T^{\alpha_1(1+\beta_2)}, \; T^{\alpha_2(1+\beta_1)}\}.$$

Now we choose the parameter $\delta > 0$ which was arbitrary up to now. First, we introduce the notations

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_T^{(1)}(s) := \left[2\tilde{c}\, F(T)\, C_T^{\beta_1}(s)\right]^{\frac{1}{p\beta}}, \;\; \delta_T^{(2)}(s) := \left[2\tilde{c} F(T)\, C_T^{\beta_2}(s)\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \\ &J_T(s) := \max\{\delta_T^{(1)}(s), \delta_T^{(2)}(s)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following main functional relation for the functions $J_T(s)$

$$J_T(s + J_T(s)) \leqslant \varepsilon\, J_T(s) \;\forall\, s \geqslant s_0 \geqslant 0, \;\; 0 < \varepsilon < 1. \tag{2.12}$$

We set $s = -2\delta, \; \delta = s' > 0$ in (2.8) and pass to the limit as $s' \to +\infty$. Using the boundedness of functions $A_T(s)$ and $B_T(s)$, we get

$$C_T(0) \leqslant c\, F(T) \|u_0\|_{L_2}^{\beta}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now we apply Lemma A.1 to the function $J_T(s)$ of (2.12). As a result, we get

$$J_T(s) \equiv 0 \;\forall\, s \geqslant s_0 + \tfrac{1}{1-\varepsilon} J_T(s_0). \tag{2.14}$$

Let $s_0 = 0$. Then, in view of (2.13), we find

$$J_T(0) \leqslant c\, \max\{F(T)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\beta_2)}},\ F(T)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_1}}\} \leqslant c\, \max\{T^{\frac{2}{2p+N(p-2)}},\ T^{\frac{2p+N(p-3)}{2p+N(p-2)}}\}$$

$\forall\, T > 0,\ c = c(p,\, \mu_1,\, N,\, \|u_0\|_{L_2})$. Choosing in (2.14)

$$s = \Gamma(T) = c\, \max\{T^{\frac{2}{2p+N(p-2)}},\ T^{\frac{2p+N(p-3)}{2p+N(p-2)}}\},$$

where $p \geqslant \frac{3N+2}{N+2}$, we obtain that $J_T(\Gamma(T)) = 0$. Thus $u_k(T,x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \{x = (x', x_N) : x_N \geqslant \Gamma(T)\}$, $k = \overline{1,N}$. And Theorem 1.1 is proved completely. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u(x,t)$ be an arbitrary weak solution of problem (C). Let $\|u\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N} \leqslant \|u_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N}$ and $p \geqslant \frac{3N+1}{N+1}$. Then the following estimates for the decay rate are valid*

$$A_T(s) + B_T(s) \leqslant \tilde{c}(\Theta)\, T\left(s^{-N(p-1)} + s^{-\frac{2N}{p+N(p-3)}}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

$\forall\, s > 0,\ T > 0$, *where* $\tilde{c}(\Theta) = \tilde{c}(p, N, \Theta)$, $\Theta = \|u_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N}$.

Proof. Applying the interpolation inequality of Lemma A.2 in the domain $K(s,\delta)$ to the function $v = |u|$ for $a = d = p,\ b = 1,\ d_2 = c\,\delta^{-N(p-1)/p}$, and integrating the result with respect to time from 0 to T, we obtain

$$\iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^p dx\, dt \leqslant c\,\delta^{-N(p-1)}\, T\, \Theta^p + c\, T^{1-\theta_1}\Theta^{p(1-\theta_1)} \times \times \left(\iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |\nabla u|^p dx\, dt\right)^{\theta_1},\ \text{where } \theta_1 = \tfrac{N(p-1)}{p+N(p-1)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, applying the interpolation inequality of Lemma A.2 in the domain $K(s,\delta)$ to the function $v = |u|$ for $a = 3,\ d = p,\ b = 1,\ d_2 = c\,\delta^{-2N/3}$, and integrating the result with respect to time, we find that

$$\iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^3 dx\,dt \leqslant c\,\delta^{-2N}\,T\,\Theta^3 + c\,T^{1-\frac{3\theta_2}{p}}\,\Theta^{3(1-\theta_2)}\times$$

$$\times\left(\iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |\nabla u|^p dx\,dt\right)^{\frac{3}{p}\theta_2}, \text{ where } \theta_2 = \tfrac{2\,N\,p}{3(p+N(p-1))},\ p > \tfrac{3N}{N+1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Inserting (3.2) and (3.3) in (2.10) and applying Young's $''\varepsilon''$–inequality, we get

$$L_T(s+\delta) := \sup_{t\in(0,T)} \int\limits_{\Omega(s+\delta)} u^2(t)\,dx + \frac{1}{T}\iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} u^2\,dx\,dt + \mu_1 C \iint\limits_{Q_T(s+\delta)} |\nabla u|^p\,dx\,dt \leqslant$$

$$\varepsilon_4\,\mu_1 \iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |\nabla u|^p\,dx\,dt + \varepsilon_3 \sup_{t\in(0,T)} \int\limits_{K(s,\delta)} |u(t)|^2\,dx + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{T}\iint\limits_{K_T(s,\delta)} |u|^2\,dx\,dt +$$

$$C_{\varepsilon_4}(\Theta)\,T\left(\delta^{-(p+N(p-1))} + \delta^{-\frac{p+N(p-1)}{p+N(p-3)}}\right), \quad (3.4)$$

$\forall\,\varepsilon_4 > 0,\ s \geqslant 0,\ \delta > 0$, where $C_{\varepsilon_4}(\Theta)$ is a constant depending on p, N, ε_4 and Θ. Choosing $\varepsilon_i > 0,\ i = 3, 4$ sufficiently small, by the standard iteration procedure we establish that

$$L_T(s_0 + \delta_0) \leqslant C(\Theta)\,T\,U(\delta_0)\ \forall\, s_0 \geqslant 0,\ \delta_0 > 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$U(\delta_0) := \delta_0^{-(p+N(p-1))} + \delta_0^{-\frac{p+N(p-1)}{p+N(p-3)}}.$$

Let $\delta \to +\infty$ in (3.2), (3.3) and use (3.5) for $s_0 = 0$ and $\delta_0 = s > 0$. We eventually obtain

$$A_T(s) \leqslant C(\Theta)TU^{\theta_1}(s),\ B_T(s) \leqslant C(\Theta)TU^{3\theta_2/p}(s),$$

for every $s > 0$. Hence (3.1) follows.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (2.14) and the decay estimations (3.1), we get

$$G(s_0) := s_0 + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} J_T(s_0) \leqslant s_0 + c \max\{F^{\frac{1}{p\beta}}(T)(A_T^{\frac{\beta_1}{p(1+\beta_1)}}(s_0) + B_T^{\frac{\beta_1}{p(1+\beta_2)}}(s_0)),$$

$$F^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(T)(A_T^{\frac{\beta_2}{1+\beta_1}}(s_0) + B_T^{\frac{\beta_2}{1+\beta_2}}(s_0))\} \leqslant s_0 + c(\Theta) \max\{F^{\frac{1}{p\beta}}(T) T^{\frac{\beta_1}{p(1+\beta_1)}} s_0^{-\frac{N(p-1)\beta_1}{p(1+\beta_1)}},$$

$$F^{\frac{1}{p\beta}}(T) T^{\frac{\beta_1}{p(1+\beta_2)}} s_0^{-\frac{2N\beta_1}{p(p+N(p-3))(1+\beta_2)}}, \ F^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(T) T^{\frac{\beta_2}{1+\beta_1}} s_0^{-\frac{N\beta_2(p-1)}{1+\beta_1}},$$

$$F^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(T) T^{\frac{\beta_2}{1+\beta_2}} s_0^{-\frac{2N\beta_2}{(p+N(p-3))(1+\beta_2)}}\} \leqslant \tilde{G}(s_0) := s_0 +$$

$$c(\Theta) \max\{T^{\frac{\beta_1+\alpha_1}{p(1+\beta_1)}} s_0^{-\frac{N\beta_1(p-1)}{p(1+\beta_1)}}, T^{\frac{\beta_2+\alpha_2}{1+\beta_2}} s_0^{-\frac{2N\beta_2}{(p+N(p-3))(1+\beta_2)}}\}.$$

Minimizing the function $\tilde{G}(s_0)$ we suppose that

$$s = \Gamma(T) = \tilde{G}(s_{0,min}) = c(\Theta) \max\{T^{\frac{\beta_1+\alpha_1}{p(1+\beta_1)+N\beta_1(p-1)}}, \ T^{\frac{(\beta_2+\alpha_2)(p+N(p-3))}{(1+\beta_2)(p+N(p-3))+2N\beta_2}}\} =$$

$$c(\Theta) \max\{T^{\frac{1}{p+N(p-2)}}, \ T^{\frac{p+N(p-3)}{p+N(p-2)}}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus $u_k(T,x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \{x = (x', x_N) : x_N \geqslant \Gamma(T)\}, \ k = \overline{1, N}$. And Theorem 1.2 is proved completely.

□

Appendix A

Lemma A.1. [*16*] *Let the nonnegative continuous nonincreasing function $f(s) : [s_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^1$ satisfies the following functional relation:*

$$f(s + f(s)) \leqslant \varepsilon f(s) \ \forall s \geqslant s_0, \ 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$

Then $f(s) \equiv 0 \ \forall s \geqslant s_0 + (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} f(s_0)$.

Lemma A.2. [*9*] *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with piecewise-smooth boundary, $a > 1$, $b \in (0, a)$, and $d > 1$, then there exist positive constants d_1 and d_2 ($d_2 = 0$ if the domain Ω is unbounded and $d_2 = \tilde{d}_2 \delta^{-\frac{N(a-b)}{ab}}$ if the domain $\Omega = K(s, \delta)$) that depend only on Ω, d, b, and N and are such that, for any function $v(x) \in W^{1,d}(\Omega) \cap L^b(\Omega)$, the following inequality is true:*

$$\|v\|_{L^a(\Omega)} \leqslant d_1 \|Dv\|^{\theta}_{L^d(\Omega)} \|v\|^{1-\theta}_{L^b(\Omega)} + d_2 \|v\|_{L^b(\Omega)}$$

where $\theta = \frac{\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{a}}{\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{d}} \in [0, 1)$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank to Prof. Šarka Nečasová and Prof. Eduard Feireisl for they valuable comments and remarks.

References

[1] Antontsev, S.N., Diaz, J.I., Shmarev, S. Energy methods for free boundary problems: applications for nonlinear PDEs and fluid mechanics (Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications) **XI**, A Birkhäuser book, 2002, 329 p.

[2] Antontsev, S.N., de Oliveira, H.B. Navier-Stokes equations woth absorbtion under slip boundary conditions: existence, uniqueness and extinction in time *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessantsu* **B1** (2007), Kyoto University, 21–42

[3] Antontsev, S.N., de Oliveira, H.B. Localization of weak solutions for non-Newtonian fluid flows (Portuguese) *Proceedings of the Congress of Computational Methods in Engineering*, National Labaratory of Civil Engeneering, Lisbon, (2004), 15 p.

[4] Andreucci, D., Tedeev, A. A Fujita type result for a degenerate Neumann problem in domains with noncompact boundary. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **231** (1999), 543–567

[5] Bellout, H., Bloom, F., Nečas, J.: Solutions for incompresssible non-Newtonian fluids, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **317**, Série I, (1993), 795-800

[6] Kamin, S., Vazquez, J. L., Fundamental solutions and asymptotic behavior for the p-Laplacian equation, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **4**(2), (1988), 339–354

[7] Lee, K., Petrosyan, A., Vazquez, J. L., Large time geometric properties of solutions of the evolution p-Laplacian equation, *Journal of Diff. Equations* **229**, (2006), 389–411

[8] Málek, J., Nečas, J., Rokyta, M., Ružička, M., Weak and Measure-valued Solutions to Evolutionary PDEs, *Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation* **13**, Chapman & Hall, 1994

[9] Nirenberg, L. , An extended interpolation inequality, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **20** (1966), 733–737

[10] Pokorný, M., Cauchy problem for the non-Newtonian incompressible fluid,*Applications of Mathematics*, **41**, No.3, (1996), 169-201

[11] Pokorný, M., Cauchy problem for the non-Newtonian incompressible fluid (Master thesis), Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, 1996

[12] Rajagopal, K.R., *Mechanics of non-Newtonian fluids*, Ed. G. P. Galdi, J. Nečas : *Recent Developments in Theoretical Fluid Dynamics. Pitman Research Notes in Math.series* **291**, Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex, 1993, 129-162

[13] Raviart, P.A., Sur la résolution de certaines équations paraboliques non linéaires, *J. Functional Analysis* **5** (1970), 299–328

[14] Sapronov, D., Shishkov, A. Asymptotic behaviour of supports of solutions of quasilinear many-dimensional parabolic equations of nonstationary diffusion-convection type. *Sb. Math.* **197** (2006), 753–790

[15] Shishkov, A. Dynamics of the geometry of the support of the generalized solution of a higher-order quasilinear parabolic equation in divergence form. *Differential Equations* **29** (1993), 460–469

[16] Shishkov, A., Shchelkov, A. Dynamics of the supports of energy solutions of mixed problems for quasi-linear parabolic equations of arbitrary order. *Izvestiya RAN: Ser. Math.* **62** (1998), 601–626

[17] Taranets, R. Propagation of perturbations in thin capillary film equations with nonlinear diffusion and convection. *Siberian Math. J.* **47** (2006), 914–931

[18] Taranets, R., Shishkov, A. Effect of time delay of support propagation in equations of thin films. *Ukrainian Math. J.* **55** (2003), 1131–1152

[19] Vazquez, J.L. The porous medium equation: mathematical theory, Oxford: Clarendon, 2007

[20] Vazquez, J.L. Smoothing and Decay estimates for Nonlinear diffusion equationss, Equations of Porous medium type, Oxford Lecture series in Mathematics and its applications **33**, Oxford Press, 2006

Yu. V. Namlyeyeva
Institute of Applied Mathematics
and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine,
R. Luxemburg str. 74, 83114 Donetsk,
Ukraine
e-mail: namleeva@iamm.ac.donetsk.ua

R.M. Taranets
Institute of Applied Mathematics
and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine,
R. Luxemburg str. 74, 83114 Donetsk,
Ukraine
e-mail: taranets_r@iamm.ac.donetsk.ua